

ORIGINAL

FILED  
IN CLERK'S OFFICE  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
★ JUL 12 2010

D&F  
CM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x  
EMILY SHIM, JEFFREY SUH, SOO YOUNG  
CHOI, SEUNG RYUN CHOI, and ALEX  
CHIN,

BROOKLYN OFFICE

Plaintiffs,

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER  
No. 08-CV-4022(FB)(VVP)

-against-

MILLENNIUM GROUP, MILLENNIUM  
(USA), LLC, MILLENNIUM HEALTHCARE  
OF FLUSHING, LLC, MILLENNIUM  
MEDICAL HEALTHCARE GROUP PLLC,  
MILLENNIUM HEALTHCARE USA, LLC,  
DANNY VASWANI, JOHN MUFANO, and  
JOHNATHAN R. JOHNSON.

Defendants.

-----x  
*Appearance:*

*For the Plaintiffs:*

LEAH MARY CAMPBELL, ESQ.

JOSHUA D. ARISOHN, ESQ.

MELISSA SAMANTHA GELLER, ESQ.

Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP

1301 Ave of the Americas

New York, NY 10019

STEVEN KYUNG CHOI, ESQ.

YKASEC

136-19 41st Avenue

3rd Floor

Flushing, NY 11354


**BLOCK, Senior District Judge:**

After a default judgment was entered against all defendants on January 29,

2010, the plaintiffs moved for attorneys' fees and costs. On June 21, 2010, Magistrate Judge Pohorelsky issued a Report and Recommendation (the "R&R") recommending that the plaintiffs be awarded \$34,254.00 in attorneys' fees and \$1,561.64 in costs. *See* R&R at 14. The R&R stated that failure to object within fourteen days would preclude appellate review, *see id.* at 15; no objections have been filed.

If clear notice has been given of the consequences of failure to object, and there are no objections, the Court may adopt the R&R without *de novo* review. *See Mario v. P & C Food Mkts., Inc.*, 313 F.3d 758, 766 (2d Cir. 2002) ("Where parties receive clear notice of the consequences, failure timely to object to a magistrate's report and recommendation operates as a waiver of further judicial review of the magistrate's decision."). The Court will excuse the failure to object and conduct *de novo* review if it appears that the magistrate judge may have committed plain error, *see Spence v. Superintendent, Great Meadow Corr. Facility*, 219 F.3d 162, 174 (2d Cir. 2000); no such error appears here. Accordingly, the Court adopts the R&R without *de novo* review and directs the Clerk to award costs and fees in accordance with the R&R.

**SO ORDERED.**

s/Frederic Block  
  
FREDERIC BLOCK  
Senior United States District Judge

Brooklyn, NY  
July 7, 2010